

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,138	05/01/2006	Rajendra Narayanrao Kankan	TPP31790	1165
77176 6890 08062008 Novak, Druce & Quigg LLP 1300 I Street, N.W. Suite 1000, West Tower WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/563 138 KANKAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Charaniit S. Aulakh -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

#### DETAILED ACTION

 According to paper filed on May 5, 2008, the applicants have canceled claims 13-20 and amended claim 6.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on May 5, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding indefiniteness rejection and obviousness rejection. The applicants have amended claims to overcome prior art rejections. In regard to indefiniteness rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicant's arguments that the term ---- substantially --- is definite. The solvent is replaced partially or completely and therefore, it is not clear what is meant by substantially completely. Is it different from completely? As stated in the previous office action, this term is not defined in the specification and therefore, is indefinite. In regard to obviousness rejection, the examiner does not agree with the applicants arguments that the instant process is not obvious over the cited reference. Actually, the instant process appears to be identical to the process in example 3 of the cited reference and only differs by change of words. The applicants argue that the instant process crystallizes Finasteride by replacing the solvent with a non-solvent whereas the process of the cited reference precipitates Finasteride from the solvent by addition of a non-solvent. However, the instant process is directed to dissolving finasteride in a solvent and therefore, it is not clear how the solvent is removed partially or completely from this solution containing finasteride and then replaced by water. The process of the cited patent also replaces the solvent by

Application/Control Number: 10/563,138

Art Unit: 1625

addition of water. The applicants have not provided any data characterizing the finasteride form I using X-ray powder diffraction, differential scanning calorimetry ( DSC ) and FT-IR spectrum etc. to show that the form I obtained by the instant process is indeed different from the form I obtained by the cited reference.

### Conclusion

- Rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is maintained for the reasons of record.
- Rejection of claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is maintained for the reasons of record.
- THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is Application/Control Number: 10/563,138

Art Unit: 1625

(571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres can be reached on (571)272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Charanjit S. Aulakh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625